

Carlier François
155 rue de Saint André
appartement 206
59800 Lille
FRANCE

Mister Harold Weisberg.

Dear Sir,

Hello, this is me, the guy who has recently wrote you several times from France. Now, let me tell you. This is the last letter that I will write to anybody concerning the Kennedy assassination. While doing my research for my book I had to ask lots of questions to lots of people. I have read lots of books. But, as incredible as it may seem, I still haven't found the simple and straight answer to a basic (but all-important) question. Now my book will soon be completed and when my publisher takes the manuscript it'll be too late to change anything. Still I cannot consider that my study has been satisfactorily done if I don't have the answer mentioned. So I am relying on you; I count on you to help me. Indeed if "no one knows more about the assassination of President Kennedy than you", then you are the reference I need. Therefore I am asking you to answer as well as you possibly can this fundamental question:

Is it true that the total weight of the metal fragments which were removed from Connally's wrist plus those that remained in his body (thigh) is superior to what is missing from CE399?

This is not only very important, it is the most crucial question because if it is true, then this alone destroys the Warren Commission version. There is absolutely no need to spend years trying to show the single-bullet theory must be impossible because of the alleged path, the directions, or that Oswald cannot have fired that well, or that the holes in the shirt are below the neck line, etc. There is always the possibility that Posner will say, well, Oswald was lucky, the shirt was bunched up, the bullet was deflected, you name it! But no-one, absolutely no-one, could go against laws of science. Not even Posner or the powerful CIA would try to prove 2+2 is 3. No way. Therefore, if the answer to my question is a "yes", then the whole official version collapses. It is impossible. Period. Again I repeat, there is no need whatsoever to investigate any further, or to try to find other arguments. Waste of time. The fact that this argument is not put forward often makes me think it is not true. Otherwise, it would have settled the whole matter years ago. Please let me know the facts.

I thank you in advance. Looking forward to hearing from you.



François Carlier